West Virginia Department of Education Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund (ESSERF) LEA Monitoring Document

LEA:	LEA Personnel:	WVDE Program Monitor(s):	Date(s) of Monitoring Visit:
	Jeff Huffman	Mami Itamochi	December 19, 2022
Logan	Patricia Lucas	Carrie Reeves	
-	Danita Noel	Michelle Leftwich	
	Rhonda Justice	Elizabeth McCoy	
	Melissa Teeters		
	Eric Ellis		
	Cheryl Deskins		

The following monitoring document is provided to local education agency personnel to be used for the monitoring of their ESSER II and ARP ESSER funded programs, including ARP-HCY. For each of the monitoring indicators, program compliance and/or effectiveness is determined based on interview responses, supporting evidence provided by the Local Education Agency (LEA), as well as information gathered through the statewide WVEIS data collection and the Grants and Planning System (GPS) platform.

The list, found beside Sample Evidence, has examples of documentation that may be submitted and/or reviewed. The LEA must keep documentation related to monitoring indicators for five years past the end of the project period. An official notice of monitoring will be provided to the LEA, which will indicate the exact school year documentation that is to be submitted for the monitoring year in which the LEA is scheduled for review. The LEA will submit required documentation, via Office 365—One Drive folder provided by the Office of Federal Programs. If monitoring findings warrant, the WVDE Office of Federal Programs reserves the right to request prior year documentation to complete monitoring reviews.

During review, the program monitor(s) will provide the following ratings for each monitoring item:

- **Compliance** the LEA meets all requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program.
- *Meets Compliance with Recommendations*-- the LEA meets minimum requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program. In this case, a recommendation may be issued.
- **Does Not Meet Compliance**-- the LEA does not meet the minimum requirements of statute or regulation in the implementation of its program, in this case, a finding will be issued.

I. Program Requirements

		RATING & COMMENTS Compliance Meets Compliance with Recommendation(s) Does Not Meet Compliance—Finding NA - Not applicable
1.	An LEA receiving funds under ARP ESSER shall develop and make publicly available on the LEA's website, not later than 30 days after receiving the allocation of funds, a plan for the safe return to in-person instruction and continuity of services. This plan shall be updated no less than every six months.	Compliance
	Sample Evidence: Published Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services plan, any published updates based on periodic reviews (at-least every 6 months)	
	WVDE Verification: LEA Webpage	
2.	An LEA receiving funds under ARP ESSER must develop, submit to the SEA on a reasonable timeline, and make publicly available on the LEA's webpage, a plan for the LEA's use of ARP ESSER funds.	Compliance
	WVDE Verification: ARP ESSER Application, LEA Webpage	
3.	An LEA receiving funds under ARP ESSER shall reserve not less than 20 percent of such funds to address learning loss through the implementation of evidence-based interventions, such as summer learning or summer enrichment, extended day, comprehensive afterschool programs, or extended school year programs, and ensure that such interventions respond to students' academic, social, and emotional needs and address the disproportionate impact of the coronavirus on the student subgroups described in section 1111(b)(2)(B)(xi) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(B)(xi)), students experiencing homelessness, and children and youth in foster care.	Compliance
	Sample Evidence: Expenditure Report that shows Cost Center for Addressing Learning Loss	
	WVDE Verification: ARP ESSER Application	

4.	Activities coded to the 20% required learning loss set-aside need to meet one of the rour tiers of	Compliance
	evidence-based interventions.	The district should review and document
	Sample Evidence: Supporting documentation, research articles, websites, etc., that support the	independent research studies to support
	practices utilized.	practices and strategies to be
		implemented with federal funds, versus
		using vendor conducted research as sole
		evidence.

II. Fiscal Resources

		 RATING & COMMENTS Compliance Meets Compliance with Recommendation(s) Does Not Meet Compliance—Finding NA - Not applicable 	
1.	The LEA only spends funds for allowable activities based on an approved ESSER II, ARP ESSER, and ARP HCY Applications.	This section was incorporated into the Logan County Special Circumstance	
	Sample Evidence: Expenditure Reports for ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP ESSER and ARP HCY grant awards. A sampling of financial records will be requested by WVDE to check allowable costs.	Review.	
	WVDE Verification: WVEIS, ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP ESSER and ARP HCY Approved Applications		
2.	The LEA does not exceed their approved indirect costs rate.	Compliance	
	WVDE Verification: WVEIS, ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP ESSER, and ARP HCY Applications		
	EDGAR, Section 76.563		

3.	The LEA has a clean fiscal audit.	Compliance
	Sample Evidence: Copies of latest audit reports and audit responses to corrective actions.	
4	The LEA supports an updated equipment list for all federally funded purchased items (public and private schools) and conducts a physical inventory of all equipment at least once every two years.	Compliance
	Sample Evidence: ESSER funded equipment inventory, LEA interviews, written procedures for managing equipment	
5	All ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP HCY, and ARP ESSER funded staff complete Time and Effort reports (monthly or semi-annual).	Compliance
	Sample Evidence: Time and Effort reports for all individuals paid out of ESSER II, Summer SOLE, ARP ESSER, and ARP HCY funding (full and part-time)	
	WVDE Verification: Certified list, Expenditure reports	
ОМ	B 2 CFR Part 200.430 Subpart E	
6.	LEA has a system of internal controls.	Compliance
	Sample Evidence: Internal Controls Policy / Procedure	
7.	 (1) As a condition of receiving funds under section 2001, a local educational agency shall not, in fiscal year 2022 or 2023— (A) reduce per-pupil funding (from combined State and local funding) for any high-poverty school served by such local educational agency by an amount that exceeds— (i) the total reduction in local educational agency funding (from combined State and local funding) for all schools served by the local educational agency in such fiscal year (if any); divided by (ii) the number of children enrolled in all schools served by the local educational agency in such fiscal year; or 	Compliance

	(B)	reduce per-pupil, full-time equivalent staff in any high-poverty school by an amount that exceeds—	
	(i) (ii)	the total reduction in full-time equivalent staff in all schools served by such local educational agency in such fiscal year (if any); divided by the number of children enrolled in all schools served by the local educational agency in	
		such fiscal year. CEPTION. —Paragraph (1) shall not apply to a local educational agency in fiscal year or 2023 that meets at least 1 of the following criteria in such fiscal year:	
	(B) Su	ch local educational agency has a total enrollment of less than 1,000 students. ch local educational agency operates a single school. ch local educational agency serves all students within each grade span with a single	
	Sample Evidence: Any data table, summary, or spreadsheet comparing state and local allocations for fiscal years 2022 and 2023 against the comparison year. Data should demonstrate that:		
	avo - Sc	hools in the highest poverty quartile did not have a greater per-pupil reduction than the erage reduction for all schools. hools in the highest poverty quartile did not have a greater reduction in FTE per pupil than a average reduction for all schools.	
		ive explaining exceptional circumstances that could have resulted in an exception from atutory indicator.	
		E Verification: per-pupil funding and per-pupil FTE staff data will be run by WVDE, if the pees not meet compliance, it will then need to upload the above Sample Evidence.	
8.	additio Approv require	A using ESSER funds for remodeling, renovation, and new construction must comply with nal federal requirements. These projects require prior written approval by the SEA. yed construction projects also must comply with applicable Uniform Guidance ments, Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements, and all the Department's applicable ions regarding construction.	Compliance
		e Evidence: pies of consultation with governmental agencies, architecture plans with building permits,	

 historic and environmental surveys. Copies of insurance paperwork for Contractor. Plans for Maintenance of Operations. Relevant RFP and or solicitation documents. Copies of relevant contracts showing wage agreements. Copies of input from engineers, architects, or other professionals specifying compliance with requirements listed. Written statements from contractors and accompanying documentation to demonstrate prevailing wages have been researched and compiled with when paying contractors and subcontractors (e.g., payment ledgers of wages paid and a copy of the prevailing wage scale for the area). Any local written policies or protocol documents that summarize the LEA's process for ensuring compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements of using ESSER funds for construction purposes. 	
 LEA maintains written standards of conduct covering conflicts of interest Sample Evidence: copies of Conflict-of-Interest policy and procedures, signed conflict of interest questionnaires for the applicable time frame 	This section was incorporated into the Logan County Special Circumstance Review.